

# Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies and Research

Volume 1, Issue 2, 2025

Indexed Journal  
Peer Reviewed Journal

## The Social Shaping of Crime: A Study of Deviance and Society

Tanjuma Mohima

Lecturer

Department of Sociology  
Dhaka International University

### ABSTRACT

Crime is more than just a collection of illegal activities; it is socially constructed and influenced by institutional authority, cultural norms, laws, and society beliefs. This article explores the sociological perspective on crime, highlighting how society defines deviance and responds to it. Illustrating on key theoretical frameworks, including labeling theory, conflict theory, functionalism, and feminist perspectives (particularly Dorothy E. Smith's standpoint theory), this study examines the causes, effects, perceptions, and social impacts of crime in societal life. This study also used examples from Bangladesh and comparative global contexts, the article point out how social inequality, gender, class, and media influence crime labeling, societal reactions and impacts within society. The findings show that understanding crime through a sociological lens is important for effective policy-making, development, social change, and create public awareness. The study concludes with recommendations for reducing crime rates in society and improving justice systems in both local and global contexts.

**Keywords:** Crime, Deviance, Social Construction, Society, Global Perspective

### Introduction

Crime is one of the most preserving social phenomena, affecting individuals, communities, and the whole societies. However, sociologists emphasize that crime is not simply an impartial category; rather, it is socially constructed, meaning that what is considered criminal behavior varies across societies, historical periods, and cultural contexts (Becker, 1963). This perspective challenges traditional views that define crime purely as acts that violate law. Instead, sociologists examine how social norms, power relations, and institutional frameworks influence the labeling and perception of deviance. The concept of crime has undergone significant transformation across historical periods. In early theological societies, criminal behavior was often interpreted as a violation of divine or moral codes, whereas in feudal eras crime became increasingly associated with property, loyalty, and social hierarchy. Contemporary societies, however, define crime predominantly through legal institutions, emphasizing codified laws and due process. This evolution reflects broader changes in social organization, governance, and collective beliefs. The study of crime from a sociological perspective provides insights into the relationship between individual behavior and broader social structures. Social institutions such as family, education, media, and law enforcement play an important role in shaping definitions of crime and influencing societal responses (Durkheim, 1895/1982). Moreover, societal reactions to crime often reflect underlying inequalities related to gender, class, and ethnicity, making crime not only a legal issue but

also a social one (Smith, 1987). Sociological inquiry into crime extends beyond legal definitions and focuses on the social structures, inequalities, and environmental conditions that give rise to deviant behavior. Issues such as poverty, unemployment, urban density, and globalization shape both criminal opportunities and societal responses. Crime not only disrupts social order but also compels societies to adapt institutional structures such as policing, courts, correctional systems, and social welfare programs. These institutions further influence social relationships by shaping perceptions of safety, justice, and legitimacy. Classical and contemporary sociological theories provide analytical frameworks to understand crime as a social phenomenon. While functionalist theorists highlight the role of crime in reaffirming collective norms and boundaries, conflict theorists emphasize crime as an outcome of systemic inequality and power imbalances. Interactionist perspectives further reveal how labeling processes and social meanings construct criminal identities.

In Bangladesh, as in many developing countries, urbanization, poverty, and social inequality contribute significantly to both the occurrence and perception of crime. Issues such as gender-based violence, cybercrime, and corruption highlight the complexity of crime within the local context. Globally, diverse patterns of crime and deviance demonstrate that societal reactions are influenced by culture, legal systems, and media representations (Sutherland, 1949). In the contemporary global era, crime has acquired new dimensions through technological advancement and transnational connectivity. Issues such as cybercrime, financial fraud, human trafficking, and organized gangs demonstrate the complexity of crime in a globalized world, necessitating multi-level analysis and coordinated policy responses.

This article aims to explore how society constructs the concept of crime, focusing on the causes, social responses, and implications of criminal behavior. It integrates theoretical frameworks, empirical studies, and examples from Bangladesh and other countries to provide a comprehensive understanding of crime as a socially constructed phenomenon. By examining crime sociologically, this study contributes to more effective social policies, justice practices, and public awareness initiatives.

## **Theoretical Frameworks**

### **• Labeling Theory**

Labeling theory extends beyond the initial act of labeling to examine how social institutions such as media, schools, police, and courts participate in defining and reinforcing deviance. The process of secondary deviance occurs when individuals adopt the label given to them and reshape their behavior accordingly. Scholars note that labeling disproportionately affects marginalized populations, particularly youth, lower socioeconomic classes, and ethnic minorities. In Bangladesh, the criminalization of minor public disturbances, political participation, or informal labor sectors often leads to stigmatization rather than rehabilitation. This stigmatization can restrict access to education, employment, and social networks, thereby reinforcing cycles of deviance. Globally, labeling theory has contributed to contemporary debates on mass incarceration, racial profiling, and prison re-entry programs, demonstrating how criminal identities are socially constructed rather than inherently possessed.

Labeling theory, developed by Howard Becker (1963), posits that deviance is not inherent in any act but is instead the result of society labeling certain behaviors as criminal. Once individuals are labeled as criminals, they often internalize this identity, which can perpetuate further deviance. For example, in Bangladesh, young people involved in minor thefts or street protests are sometimes stigmatized, limiting their opportunities for social reintegration and

increasing the likelihood of repeated offenses (Rahman & Hossain, 2021). Globally, labeling theory explains how minority communities in the United States often experience higher rates of incarceration due to societal bias rather than the inherent criminality of their actions (Goffman, 1963).

- **Conflict Theory**

Conflict theory further argues that crime cannot be understood separately from the distribution of wealth, power, and institutional control. Those with greater political or economic influence shape legal frameworks to protect their interests while criminalizing behaviors associated with lower classes. This is evident in selective enforcement practices, unequal sentencing, and the creation of laws that disproportionately affect specific communities. In Bangladesh, white-collar crimes such as banking fraud, land grabbing, or corporate corruption are often committed by individuals with social and political capital. Yet, such offenses frequently go underreported or unpunished due to institutional collusion and weak regulatory mechanisms. Conversely, lower-income individuals are more likely to be arrested for petty theft, informal market activities, or survival-based offenses. Internationally, conflict theory has been applied to study phenomena such as the war on drugs, privatization of prisons, and the criminalization of dissent, illustrating how crime is deeply embedded in socioeconomic inequality rather than solely individual pathology. Conflict theory, rooted in Marxist sociology, argues that laws and definitions of crime are instruments of the powerful to control marginalized groups (Chambliss, 1978). According to this perspective, social inequality shapes both crime and law enforcement. In Bangladesh, for instance, economic elites may engage in white-collar crimes such as corruption or tax evasion but face minimal consequences, while poorer citizens are more heavily policed (Islam & Akter, 2020). Similarly, global studies show that systemic inequality influences crime patterns, with disadvantaged groups often disproportionately targeted by law enforcement (Sutherland, 1949).

- **Functionalism**

Functionalist theorists argue that crime plays an integral role in maintaining societal balance by reaffirming collective values and identifying necessary boundaries. Durkheim contended that an absence of crime would be problematic, as it would imply rigid conformity and lack of social change. Crime, therefore, signals areas where norms are contested, forcing societies to negotiate evolving moral standards. In Bangladesh, discussions on cybercrime, political violence, and corruption reveal broader debates over democratic accountability, technological regulation, and institutional governance. These societal responses ultimately contribute to policy development, law reform, and moral education. On a global scale, functionalist perspectives also explain how institutions such as police, courts, and correctional facilities contribute to social order, though critics argue that functionalism often underestimates structural inequalities that shape criminalization.

Functionalist perspectives, influenced by Emile Durkheim (1859/1982), view crime as a normal part of society that reinforces social norms by highlighting acceptable and unacceptable behaviors. From this standpoint, crime serves a function by clarifying moral boundaries and promoting social cohesion. In the context of Bangladesh, rising incidents of urban crime, such as street theft or political violence, provoke social discussions on ethics, governance, and collective responsibility (Chowdhury, 2019). Globally, functionalists argue that the criminal justice system works to maintain social order and discourage deviance, though inequalities often influence who benefits from these systems.

- **Feminist Perspective**

Feminist criminology emerged to challenge male-centered criminological narratives that overlooked women as both victims and offenders. Dorothy E. Smith and other feminist scholars emphasize that gendered power relations shape definitions of crime, legal responses, and social stigma. Women's victimization particularly in cases of domestic abuse, sexual harassment, and marital violence has historically been underreported and trivialized due to patriarchal norms that treat such issues as private rather than criminal. In Bangladesh, societal expectations surrounding honor, modesty, and family reputation often silence women's experiences of violence. Additionally, pathways to female criminality are frequently linked to gendered economic vulnerabilities, histories of abuse, and restricted agency. Globally, feminist criminology has expanded to include intersectional analyses examining how race, class, sexuality, and nationality intersect with gender to shape experiences of crime and justice.

Feminist sociologists, including Dorothy E. Smith (1987), emphasize how gender shapes experiences of crime and societal responses. Women's involvement in crime and victimization often goes underreported due to patriarchal structures and social stigma. In Bangladesh, gender-based violence remains a significant concern, with victims frequently facing societal blame rather than institutional protection (Hasan, 2021). Similarly, global research highlights that women's pathways into crime differ from men's, influenced by socialization, economic dependence, and victimization experiences (Chesney-Lind, 1989).

- **Crime as a Social Construct**

Crime is not an objective or universal category; rather, it is constructed through social norms, laws, and cultural values. What constitutes a crime in one society may be considered acceptable behavior in another. For example, certain forms of political protest may be criminalized in Bangladesh while being protected under free speech in Western democracies (Ahmed, 2018). Media representations also play a critical role in constructing public perceptions of crime, often exaggerating certain types of offenses while minimizing others (Surette, 2015).

### **Components of Crime**

Crime as a sociological phenomenon consists of multiple interrelated components: **the criminal, the victim, the law and legal framework, and the social control institutions**. These components function within a given social context and collectively shape how society understands deviance.

#### **The Criminal**

The "criminal" is socially constructed rather than inherently deviant. Becker's (1963) labeling theory argues that individuals become criminals when society labels them as such. Characteristics such as gender, class, age, and ethnicity influence who becomes criminalized more frequently (Reiner, 2016). In Bangladesh and many other societies, youth from lower socioeconomic groups are more likely to be labeled as "potential criminals" compared to elite actors engaged in white-collar crimes (Islam & Akter, 2020).

#### **The Victim**

Victimology emphasizes that crime also produces victims, whose experiences vary according to vulnerability, gender, and social status. Women and children are disproportionately affected by domestic violence, trafficking, and sexual abuse (Hasan, 2021). In cybercrime, victims increasingly include youth and women who face online harassment or financial scams (Hasan, 2020).

### **Law and Legal Framework**

Law serves as the formal mechanism distinguishing acceptable from deviant behavior. Durkheim (1895/1982) argued that law reflects collective morality and social solidarity. Differences in legal systems across societies show that crime is culturally constructed: an act legal in one country may be criminal elsewhere.

### **Social Control and Order Institutions**

Institutions such as the police, judiciary, media, education, and family function as mechanisms of social control (Chambliss, 1978). In Bangladesh, law enforcement institutions face challenges related to corruption, limited resources, and political influence (Islam & Akter, 2020), affecting crime management and public trust.

### **Sociological Impact of Crime on Society**

Crime influences society in multiple ways:

- **Economic impacts:** healthcare costs, property loss, policing, and judicial expenses.
- **Psychological impacts:** fear, trauma, anxiety, and reduced community cohesion.
- **Social impacts:** weakened trust in institutions, social stigma, and marginalization of offenders.
- **Cultural impacts:** shifts in norms, moral panic, and changing attitudes toward deviance (Surette, 2015).

High crime environments also produce fear of victimization, which restricts mobility, especially for women and children in Bangladesh (Hasan, 2021).

### **Approaches to Deviance**

Sociology explains deviance through three major approaches:

#### **Structural-Functional Approach**

Durkheim argued that deviance is normal and contributes to social change by clarifying moral boundaries (1895/1982). Merton's strain theory suggests individuals commit crime when social goals cannot be achieved through legitimate means (as cited in Reiner, 2016).

#### **Symbolic Interactionist Approach**

This perspective focuses on how deviance is constructed through interaction.

- Labeling Theory – deviance occurs when labels are assigned (Becker, 1963).
- Differential Association Theory – individuals learn deviance through socialization (Sutherland, 1949).

#### **Conflict and Feminist Approaches**

Conflict theorists argue that crime arises from inequality, capitalism, and power struggles (Wacquant, 2009). Feminist criminology highlights that patriarchal norms produce gender-specific victimization and criminalization (Chesney-Lind, 1989; Daly & Chesney-Lind, 1988).

#### **Criminal Patterns and Motivations**

Patterns of criminal behavior differ depending on the socioeconomic, cultural, and political context of a society. In Bangladesh, urbanization and demographic changes have reshaped the criminological landscape in recent decades. **Youth delinquency**, for example, is increasingly associated with unemployment, academic pressure, urban crowding, and limited recreational opportunities (Rahman & Hossain, 2021). Many young offenders become involved in theft,

vandalism, gang affiliation, and political clashes, often as a survival strategy or means of identity formation. In contrast, the rise of **cybercrime** represents a new frontier in Bangladesh, driven by rapid digitalization, mobile connectivity, and insufficient digital literacy among the population (Hasan, 2020). Crimes such as online harassment, financial fraud, identity theft, and unauthorized data access have expanded significantly under the digital economy.

Simultaneously, **white-collar crime** persists within political and economic elites, encompassing corruption, embezzlement, money laundering, and regulatory manipulation (Sutherland, 1949; Islam & Akter, 2020). These crimes often remain undetected or unpunished due to institutional weakness and power asymmetry. Globally, similar patterns exist in developing and developed nations, illustrating that privilege shields certain offenders from formal criminalization (Wacquant, 2009).

Criminal motivations are multifaceted and cannot be reduced to a single cause. **Economic motivations**, including poverty, inequality, and unemployment, drive individuals toward property crime, drug trade, and informal survival markets (Chesney-Lind, 1989). **Social motivations**, such as peer influence, family fragmentation, and community disorganization, increase vulnerability to deviant subcultures (Sutherland, 1949). **Psychological motivations**, including addiction, trauma, and mental health disorders, contribute to violent and substance-related offenses. **Political motivations** involve participation in riots, protest-related clashes, and politically sanctioned violence, which also serve as expressions of collective discontent. Finally, **opportunity structures** including urban anonymity, access to technology, and weak surveillance widen the scope for criminal activity (Surette, 2015). Criminological literature shows that crime thrives where opportunities exist, capable offenders are present, and guardianship is minimal.

### **Present Social Conditions Leading to Crime**

Contemporary Bangladesh reflects structural conditions that contribute to crime formation and deviance. **Rapid urban expansion**, particularly in Dhaka, Chattogram, and Sylhet, has generated overcrowded residential zones, informal settlements, and strained public services. Such environments foster anonymity, weaken community surveillance, and enable street-level crime (Kumar, 2018). **Socioeconomic inequality and unemployment** further intensify criminal susceptibility, especially among marginalized youth and migrant labor communities (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 2022). The lack of **robust social safety nets** heightens vulnerabilities for families facing economic shocks, pushing some toward illicit activities as coping mechanisms.

**Patriarchal norms** and gender inequality continue to shape crime patterns, particularly in cases of domestic violence, sexual harassment, and trafficking (Hasan, 2021). Meanwhile, expanding **digital exposure** has broadened opportunities for cyber-based victimization, especially among women, students, and small entrepreneurs. **Political instability**, including factional competition and patron-client networks, further embeds violence into political culture. These combined structural pressures align with sociological perspectives that understand crime as a product of broader societal contradictions rather than individual pathology.

### **Anti-Social Activities and State Responses**

Anti-social activities in Bangladesh encompass a spectrum of behaviors that threaten social cohesion and public order, including **drug addiction and trafficking, political violence,**

**sexual harassment, cyber harassment, corruption, extortion, and human trafficking.** Drug-related offenses have increased with the proliferation of yaba and methamphetamine, particularly in border districts and urban centers (Chowdhury, 2019). Political violence, often linked with student groups or party militias, disrupts civic life and contributes to widespread insecurity.

The Bangladeshi state has implemented a series of countermeasures, including specialized **cybercrime units, anti-human trafficking task forces, gender-based violence helplines, and anti-drug operations** (Hasan, 2020). Non-state actors, such as NGOs and civil society organizations, also play a role through public awareness initiatives and victim support programs. However, the effectiveness of these interventions is constrained by institutional challenges, including corruption, inadequate resources, bureaucratic delays, and lack of gender sensitivity within law enforcement (Islam & Akter, 2020). As a result, the gap between official policy and practical implementation continues to hinder comprehensive crime reduction.

### **Problems Society is Facing as a Result**

The societal consequences of crime extend far beyond immediate victimization. High crime environments contribute to an **erosion of public trust** in state institutions, particularly law enforcement and the judiciary. This distrust discourages legal reporting and increases reliance on informal justice mechanisms, undermining the rule of law (Reiner, 2016). Meanwhile, **fear-driven behavioral restrictions** limit mobility, leisure activities, and social participation, especially among women and children, reinforcing gender inequalities (Hasan, 2021).

Moreover, persistent crime discourages investment, reduces business confidence, and contributes to **reduced foreign investment** a significant concern for developing economies. In skilled sectors, insecurity fuels **brain drain**, as educated individuals seek opportunities in safer environments. Simultaneously, crime contributes to **social polarization**, deepening class divisions between those who can protect themselves and those who cannot. Ultimately, sustained criminality and deviance weaken democratic participation, diminish social solidarity, and produce an **undermined rule of law**, marking crime not only as a social disorder but also a barrier to national development.

### **Findings**

Crime, as a social phenomenon, is embedded within broader social, cultural, and institutional contexts. The findings indicate that crime is not merely a legal category but is socially constructed, influenced by social norms, power relations, and institutional processes (Becker, 1963). The construction of deviance varies across societies, historical periods, and cultural settings, demonstrating that actions considered criminal in one context may be normalized or tolerated in another.

### **Social Construction of Crime**

The findings show that crime is a socially negotiated category rather than an objective one. For example, Becker's (1963) labeling theory indicates that acts become criminal only when society labels them as such. Practices such as blasphemy, abortion, or same-sex relations illustrate temporal and cultural variability in criminalization based on shifting moral and ideological climates (Durkheim, 1895/1982). In Bangladesh, the treatment of political protest illustrates this variability: protests may function as democratic expression yet become criminalized during periods of political unrest (Ahmed, 2018). Similarly, petty corruption

may be tolerated or normalized in bureaucracies, whereas street-level offenses committed by marginalized youth are more heavily policed (Islam & Akter, 2020).

### **Role of Social Institutions**

The findings highlight that social institutions distribute norms, regulate behavior, and shape classification of deviance (Sampson & Laub, 1993).

- **Family:** Family acts as a primary agent of socialization, and disruptions in family structure or weak parental supervision increase vulnerability to delinquency. In patriarchal contexts, over-surveillance may also produce hidden victimization, especially for women.
- **Education:** Educational institutions reinforce disciplinary norms, but inequalities in access contribute to class-based differences in opportunity. In Western contexts, exclusionary schooling practices produce a “school-to-prison pipeline,” disproportionately affecting minority students (Wald & Losen, 2003).
- **Legal System:** Law enforcement does not operate uniformly; selective policing and differential sentencing produce unequal experiences of criminality. Rural dispute mechanisms such as *shalish* further shape informal justice outcomes in Bangladesh.
- **Media:** Media plays a significant role in defining crime, often through sensational reporting that produces “moral panics” (Cohen, 1972). Digital media in Bangladesh has amplified awareness of cybercrime and gender violence but sometimes reinforces victim-blaming narratives (Chowdhury, 2019).

### **Gender, Class, and Crime**

The findings show that crime is embedded within gendered and classed inequalities.

- **Gender:** Smith’s (1987) standpoint theory indicates that women experience both crime and law from socially marginalized standpoints. Gendered crimes such as domestic abuse, dowry violence, marital rape, and workplace harassment remain underreported due to stigma (Hasan, 2021).
- **Class:** Socioeconomic status influences exposure to crime and likelihood of criminalization. Elite offenders engage in white-collar crime shielded by institutional power, whereas poor offenders are overrepresented in criminal justice systems (Sutherland, 1949; Wacquant, 2009).

### **Bangladesh-Specific Crime Trends**

The findings demonstrate distinctive crime dynamics:

- **Urban Crime:** Dhaka’s rapid migration and informal settlements increase exposure to theft, extortion, and gang activity.
- **Cybercrime:** Online fraud, identity theft, and harassment target youth and women, reflecting digital modernization (Hasan, 2020).
- **Gender-Based Violence:** Domestic and workplace harassment remains pervasive and underreported.
- **Corruption & White-Collar Crime:** High-level corruption affects bureaucracy, healthcare, and law enforcement, producing widespread institutional loss.

### **Global Comparisons**

Cross-national perspectives indicate that crime reflects power structures.

- The U.S. demonstrates racialized policing and mass incarceration.
- The U.K. displays moral panic over youth and knife crime driven by media discourse.
- India shows caste and gender as organizing principles of both offending and victimization.
- Scandinavian countries demonstrate that rehabilitative welfare-oriented justice reduces recidivism (Downes et al., 2016).

## Discussion

The findings support sociological theories that crime is socially constructed rather than a fixed legal concept. Labeling theory explains how criminality emerges through social reaction (Becker, 1963), while conflict theory argues that law functions to protect elite interests and regulate marginalized groups (Chambliss, 1978; Wacquant, 2009). In Bangladesh, selective criminalization of protest and tolerance of elite corruption reflect these dynamics (Islam & Akter, 2020).

Institutional mechanisms identified in the findings demonstrate that crime arises within structural conditions rather than individual deviance. Strain theory (Merton, 1938) explains how limited access to legitimate opportunities pushes marginalized populations toward survival crimes. The rise of cybercrime further aligns with modernization theories showing that technological development introduces new deviance categories (Reiner, 2016). Feminist criminology supports the finding that gendered power relations shape pathways to crime and patterns of victimization (Daly & Chesney-Lind, 1988).

Bangladesh-specific crime patterns show that crime operates as a developmental barrier, reducing institutional trust, restricting women's mobility, and weakening rule of law. These outcomes demonstrate that crime cannot be isolated from nation-building processes, democratic participation, and social cohesion.

## Recommendations

Based on the sociological analysis of crime in Bangladesh and comparative global perspectives, several recommendations emerge to address the structural, cultural, and institutional factors that contribute to criminal behavior. Effective strategies must go beyond punitive approaches, recognizing that crime is socially constructed, shaped by inequality, and mediated by institutional and cultural practices (Becker, 1963; Chambliss, 1978; Smith, 1987). These recommendations are organized into four key areas: policy reform, institutional strengthening, public engagement, and gender-sensitive interventions.

### 1. Policy Reform and Legal Frameworks

Criminal justice policy in Bangladesh should prioritize equity, social justice, and evidence-based interventions (Reiner, 2016; Rahman & Hossain, 2021). Laws must be designed to address structural inequalities that disproportionately affect marginalized populations, including the urban poor, youth, and women (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 2022; Kumar, 2018). Policies targeting poverty reduction, educational access, and employment generation can mitigate the socio-economic pressures that drive street-level crime and petty offenses (Sampson & Laub, 1993; Wald & Losen, 2003). Regulatory frameworks must also adapt to technological advancement, particularly in the realm of cybercrime, ensuring effective prevention, investigation, and prosecution mechanisms (Hasan, 2020). Comparative lessons from countries such as Scandinavia highlight the benefits of rehabilitative justice models that focus on social reintegration rather than purely punitive measures, suggesting that similar approaches could reduce recidivism in Bangladesh (Reiner, 2016; Wacquant, 2009).

### 2. Institutional Strengthening and Accountability

Institutions responsible for crime prevention and law enforcement, including the police, judiciary, and regulatory agencies, must operate transparently, efficiently, and equitably (Islam & Akter, 2020; Reiner, 2016). Reducing corruption and enhancing accountability mechanisms is essential to prevent elite impunity and ensure that enforcement does not disproportionately target lower-class populations (Sutherland, 1949; Chowdhury, 2019).

Capacity-building initiatives, specialized training, and technological support can improve law enforcement's ability to investigate complex crimes, including cybercrime, organized crime, and financial misconduct (Hasan, 2020; Rahman & Hossain, 2021). Additionally, integrating social workers, psychologists, and community mediators into criminal justice processes can foster more rehabilitative and socially informed interventions (Daly & Chesney-Lind, 1988; Smith, 1987).

### **3. Public Awareness and Media Responsibility**

The media plays a critical role in shaping societal perceptions of crime. Ethical, evidence-based reporting can reduce moral panic, challenge stereotypes, and encourage accurate public understanding of crime trends (Cohen, 1972; Chowdhury, 2019). Public awareness campaigns should focus on educating citizens about the social determinants of crime, legal rights, and avenues for reporting victimization, particularly for underrepresented groups such as women, children, and marginalized communities (Hasan, 2021; Chesney-Lind, 1989). School-based programs and community workshops can further promote civic responsibility, conflict resolution, and digital literacy, thereby preventing engagement in deviant behaviors (Wald & Losen, 2003).

### **4. Gender-Sensitive Interventions**

Addressing gender-based crime requires targeted strategies that recognize the structural and cultural factors influencing female victimization and offending (Smith, 1987; Hasan, 2021). Legal reforms must protect women from domestic violence, sexual harassment, trafficking, and other forms of abuse, while ensuring accessible reporting mechanisms and victim support services (Chesney-Lind, 1989; Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 2022). Educational programs should challenge patriarchal norms, promote gender equality, and empower women and girls economically and socially (Smith, 1987). Intersectional approaches are essential, acknowledging that gender interacts with class, age, and other social factors to influence experiences of crime and justice (Daly & Chesney-Lind, 1988).

### **5. Integrated and Multisectoral Approaches**

Finally, effective crime prevention requires collaboration across sectors, including government, civil society, educational institutions, media, and the private sector (Reiner, 2016; Wacquant, 2009). Multisectoral strategies can address root causes of crime, from poverty and unemployment to social stigma and lack of institutional trust (Sampson & Laub, 1993; Rahman & Hossain, 2021). Partnerships with international agencies and adoption of global best practices can enhance local capabilities in areas such as cybercrime prevention, human trafficking interventions, and criminal rehabilitation programs (Hasan, 2020; Reiner, 2016).

### **Conclusion**

Crime is a multifaceted social phenomenon that cannot be reduced solely to legal definitions or individual wrongdoing. This article demonstrates that crime is fundamentally socially constructed, emerging from interactions between societal norms, power relations, cultural values, and institutional practices (Becker, 1963; Durkheim, 1895/1982). Theoretical frameworks, including labeling theory, conflict theory, functionalism, and feminist perspectives, provide critical insight into how societies define deviance, enforce moral boundaries, and maintain social control (Chambliss, 1978; Smith, 1987; Chesney-Lind, 1989). These frameworks collectively reveal that criminal behavior is neither inherently deviant nor universally recognized; rather, it is contingent upon historical, cultural, and structural contexts (Sutherland, 1949). Labeling theory underscores that the designation of certain acts as criminal is an exercise of social power (Becker, 1963). In Bangladesh, minor theft, political protests, or

petty corruption may be framed as criminal depending on prevailing political interests or social hierarchies (Ahmed, 2018; Rahman & Hossain, 2021). Similarly, globally, minority groups are often disproportionately criminalized due to systemic biases, demonstrating that societal reactions rather than intrinsic characteristics often define criminality (Goffman, 1963; Wacquant, 2009). Conflict theory further highlights the role of inequality in shaping both crime and law enforcement (Chambliss, 1978). White-collar crimes committed by elites such as corruption, embezzlement, and corporate malpractice often face limited scrutiny, while the poor are subjected to disproportionate policing and punitive measures (Sutherland, 1949; Islam & Akter, 2020). Functionalist perspectives illuminate the broader social functions of crime, showing that deviance reinforces collective norms, signals societal tensions, and prompts institutional adaptation (Durkheim, 1895/1982; Reiner, 2016). Feminist perspectives, particularly Dorothy E. Smith's standpoint theory, emphasize that gender shapes both victimization and offending, revealing structural discrimination and the gendered nature of crime reporting and legal protection (Smith, 1987; Hasan, 2021).

In Bangladesh, the interplay of urbanization, social inequality, and patriarchal structures generates a complex crime landscape (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 2022; Kumar, 2018). Dhaka's rapid population growth and informal settlements have contributed to increased street-level crime, youth delinquency, and political violence (Rahman & Hossain, 2021; Ahmed, 2018). Digitalization has simultaneously facilitated the emergence of cybercrime, encompassing online fraud, identity theft, and cyber harassment (Hasan, 2020). Gender-based violence persists as a significant societal concern, encompassing domestic abuse, sexual harassment, and human trafficking (Hasan, 2021; Chesney-Lind, 1989). Social stigma, coupled with weak institutional enforcement, exacerbates underreporting and leaves many victims unprotected (Chowdhury, 2019). At the elite level, corruption and white-collar offenses reflect systemic challenges in governance, law enforcement, and institutional accountability (Islam & Akter, 2020; Sutherland, 1949). These patterns illustrate that crime in Bangladesh is deeply entwined with socio-economic and political structures rather than purely individual choice (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 2022).

The sociological understanding of crime has significant implications for policy, public awareness, and institutional practice (Reiner, 2016; Daly & Chesney-Lind, 1988). Addressing crime effectively requires interventions that go beyond punitive measures to tackle structural inequality, enhance education and employment opportunities, and provide equitable legal protections (Sampson & Laub, 1993; Wald & Losen, 2003). Gender-sensitive frameworks are critical, particularly in contexts where patriarchal norms exacerbate vulnerability (Smith, 1987; Hasan, 2021). Public awareness campaigns can challenge stigma, promote reporting, and educate communities on the social determinants of crime (Cohen, 1972). Ethical media practices are essential to ensure accurate representation of criminal events, prevent moral panic, and avoid reinforcing stereotypes about marginalized groups (Chowdhury, 2019). Institutional accountability, including transparency in law enforcement and governance, is fundamental to ensuring fairness, reducing corruption, and enhancing public trust (Islam & Akter, 2020).

Furthermore, examining crime sociologically underscores the dynamic relationship between deviance and social change (Durkheim, 1895/1982; Reiner, 2016). Crime serves as a signal of societal tension, highlighting areas where norms, laws, or institutional structures may require adaptation (Becker, 1963). In Bangladesh, cybercrime, political unrest, and gender-based violence signal structural gaps that require reform in governance, technology regulation, and social support systems (Hasan, 2020; Ahmed, 2018). Globally, emerging crime forms, such

as human trafficking, digital fraud, and organized transnational crime, challenge traditional policing methods and necessitate coordinated policy responses and cross-border cooperation (Sutherland, 1949; Wacquant, 2009).

In conclusion, crime is simultaneously a mirror and mechanism of society. It reflects underlying inequalities, cultural values, and institutional practices while shaping public perception, policy, and social norms (Durkheim, 1895/1982; Smith, 1987). By understanding crime through a sociological lens, this study emphasizes the importance of context-sensitive, evidence-based, and equity-focused approaches. For Bangladesh, this entails addressing urbanization pressures, gender disparities, elite impunity, and cyber vulnerabilities (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 2022; Rahman & Hossain, 2021). Internationally, comparative insights highlight the need to consider local culture, institutional capacity, and socio-economic inequality in shaping effective crime prevention strategies (Sutherland, 1949; Wacquant, 2009). Ultimately, a sociologically informed understanding of crime enables societies to develop interventions that are just, equitable, and responsive to both structural and individual realities, fostering safer, more inclusive, and resilient communities (Becker, 1963; Reiner, 2016).

## References

1. Ahmed, S. (2018). Political Protests and Crime Perception in Bangladesh. *Journal of Social Studies*, 12(2), 45–62.
2. Alexander, M. (2012). *The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness*. The New Press.
3. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. (2022). *Crime Statistics Report 2022*. Dhaka: Government of Bangladesh.
4. Becker, H. S. (1963). *Outsiders: Studies in the Sociology of Deviance*. Free Press.
5. Chambliss, W. J. (1978). *Power, Politics, and Crime*. Academic Press.
6. Chesney-Lind, M. (1989). Girls, Delinquency, and Juvenile Justice. *Crime & Delinquency*, 35(1), 39–55.
7. Chowdhury, T. (2019). Media and Moral Panic: Crime Reporting in Dhaka. *Bangladesh Journal of Media Studies*, 5(1), 23–40.
8. Daly, K., & Chesney-Lind, M. (1988). Feminism and Criminology. *Justice Quarterly*, 5(4), 497–538.
9. Durkheim, E. (1895/1982). *The Rules of Sociological Method*. Free Press.
10. Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity*. Prentice Hall.
11. Hasan, R. (2020). Cybercrime Trends in Bangladesh: Emerging Challenges. *Journal of Digital Society*, 2(1), 12–27.
12. Hasan, R. (2021). Gender-based Violence in Bangladesh: Societal and Institutional Perspectives. *Bangladesh Journal of Social Research*, 15(3), 101–120.
13. Islam, M., & Akter, S. (2020). Corruption and Law Enforcement in Bangladesh: A Sociological analysis. *Asian Journal of Governance*, 7(2), 55–70.
14. Khan, S. (2020). Urbanization, Inequality, and Youth Crime in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 40(3/4), 245–263.
15. Kumar, P. (2018). Urbanization and Petty Crime in India. *Indian Journal of Criminology*, 10(2), 33–49.
16. Nahar, P., & Khan, R. (2022). Gendered Experiences of Crime and Justice in Bangladesh: A Sociological Perspective. *Bangladesh Journal of Social Research*, 15(1), 45–67.
17. Rahman, M., & Hossain, A. (2021). Youth Crime in Urban Bangladesh: Causes and Consequences. *Dhaka University Journal of Social Studies*, 18(1), 77–96.
18. Reiner, R. (2016). *Law and Order: An Introduction to Criminology*. Routledge.

19. Smith, D. E. (1987). *The Everyday World as Problematic: A Feminist Sociology*. Northeastern University Press.
20. Sutherland, E. H. (1949). *White-collar Crime*. Dryden Press.
21. Surette, R. (2015). *Media, Crime, and Criminal Justice: Images, Realities, and Policies*. Cengage Learning.
22. Wacquant, L. (2009). *Punishing the poor: The Neoliberal Government of Social Insecurity*. Duke University Press.
23. Walklate, S. (2012). *Handbook of Victims and Victimology* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Routledge.