

# Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies and Research

Volume 2, Issue 1, 2026

Indexed Journal  
Peer Reviewed Journal

## Power, Sexuality, and Political Dissent: A Foucauldian Reading of Kundera's *The Unbearable Lightness of Being*

<sup>1</sup>Rakib Uddin

Associate Professor, Department of English, Prime University

<sup>2</sup>Bidduth Kumar Dutta

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Prime University

### ABSTRACT

This study examines Milan Kundera's celebrated novel *The Unbearable Lightness of Being* through the theoretical lens of Michel Foucault's work on power, sexuality, and subjectivity (Foucault, 1978, 1982). The analysis demonstrates how Kundera's characters Tomas, Tereza, and Sabina navigate the complex terrain of personal freedom under the constraints of a totalitarian state. The novel, set against the political turmoil of the 1968 Prague Spring, reveals how power operates not merely through overt repression but through intimate relationships, bodily experiences, and individual identities. Tomas's approach to romantic relationships functions as a subtle form of resistance against state-imposed moral frameworks, while Tereza's psychological struggles illustrate how disciplinary mechanisms become internalized within the self. Meanwhile, Sabina's commitment to artistic and personal betrayal represents what Foucault might call counter-conduct a deliberate subversion of dominant social structures (Foucault, 1978). By applying Foucauldian concepts of biopower, surveillance, and self-formation (Foucault, 1977, 1978, 1988), this reading uncovers the ways sexuality becomes both a site of political control and a potential avenue for dissent. The study contributes to ongoing scholarly conversations about the intersection of the erotic and the political in literature produced under authoritarian conditions.

**Keywords:** Foucault; Power; Sexuality; Political Dissent; Kundera; Surveillance; Biopolitics; Resistance; Czech Literature

### Introduction

Milan Kundera's *The Unbearable Lightness of Being* remains one of the most philosophically rich novels to emerge from twentieth-century Central European literature. Published in 1984 (Kundera, 1984), the novel unfolds against the historical backdrop of the Prague Spring and the subsequent Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia. Rather than presenting a straightforward political critique through revolutionary rhetoric or heroic acts of defiance, Kundera takes a more nuanced approach. He investigates how human intimacy, desire, and selfhood become entangled with ideological conformity, state censorship, and pervasive surveillance. The result is a narrative that exposes sexuality as fundamentally political a terrain where both resistance and control play out in complex ways.

Michel Foucault's theoretical framework provides an invaluable tool for unpacking these dynamics. Foucault fundamentally transformed how scholars understand power, moving away from traditional models that view it as something possessed by rulers and imposed from above (Foucault, 1977, 1978). Instead, he conceptualized power as something that circulates

through networks of knowledge, normalization, and the production of individual subjectivity (Foucault, 1978). Power, in this view, is not merely prohibitive but productive it creates knowledge, shapes desires, and constitutes the very subjects who appear to resist or submit to it. This reconceptualization proves remarkably relevant to Kundera's fictional world, where the distinctions between public and private, freedom and constraint, are continuously negotiated and contested.

The novel demonstrates that power does not operate solely through physical force or explicit repression. Rather, it seeps into the most personal dimensions of existence love, sexual desire, artistic expression, and memory. The Communist regime's authority manifests not only through censorship and state surveillance but also through demands for ideological purity and transparent moral conduct. Tomas, a talented surgeon with a pattern of romantic affairs, emerges as a figure of quiet resistance. His defiance takes shape not through political organizing but through his refusal to conform to the moral expectations imposed by both state and society. When he declines to retract an article criticizing the regime's complicity in Stalinist-era injustices, he accepts the professional consequences rather than participate in what he perceives as a performative game of public confession.

For Tomas, sexuality represents an arena of personal autonomy. His deliberate separation of physical intimacy from emotional attachment challenges the normative structures that both the state and conventional society seek to enforce. This compartmentalization of desire aligns with Foucault's understanding of sexuality as a site where subjects are simultaneously constituted and regulated. The modern era, Foucault argued, is characterized not by the suppression of sexuality but by its intense scrutiny and management through discourse (Foucault, 1978).

Sabina, the bohemian artist and one of Tomas's lovers, perhaps embodies the most radical form of Foucauldian resistance in the novel. Her aesthetic transgressions, romantic betrayals, and rejection of ideological orthodoxy challenge both political dogma and patriarchal expectations. Her embrace of ambiguity and disloyalty becomes something like a personal philosophy. Through Sabina, Kundera demonstrates how individual acts of self-fashioning and artistic freedom can constitute subtle yet profound modes of dissent. This resonates with Foucault's later investigations into what he termed technologies of the self the practices through which individuals resist dominant norms by cultivating alternative ways of being (Foucault, 1988).

Tereza, Tomas's wife, experiences sexuality quite differently as a realm fraught with conflict, shame, and vulnerability. Shaped by her upbringing and religious background, she associates bodily experience with guilt and moral scrutiny. Her recurring dreams of public exposure and humiliation vividly illustrate how power becomes internalized, producing subjects who participate in their own subjugation (Foucault, 1977). Tereza's character underscores Foucault's insight that discipline operates not only from outside but from within the psyche itself.

This study argues that reading *The Unbearable Lightness of Being* through a Foucauldian framework reveals sexuality as a contested terrain of power and freedom under totalitarian rule. It challenges simple oppositions between public and private, between eros and politics, suggesting instead that erotic life is deeply political and that the assertion of desire can function as a radical act of self-definition. The analysis contributes to broader conversations in literary and political theory about how embodiment, sexuality, and personal narrative confront systems of domination.

## **Literature Review**

Scholarly engagement with Kundera's novel has long recognized its deep philosophical investment in questions of existence, freedom, and memory within a politically charged context. Critics have examined the novel's narrative experimentation, its historical setting, and its metaphysical concerns (Banerjee, 2008; Wood, 1990). However, sustained attention to how sexuality and dissent function together through a specifically Foucauldian lens remains relatively underdeveloped. This review surveys relevant scholarship across three interconnected domains: Kundera studies, Foucauldian theory, and critical approaches to power and sexuality in literature.

## **Kundera's Philosophical Fiction**

Kundera's work has frequently been interpreted as a meditation on the philosophical tensions between lightness and weight, chance and necessity, body and soul. Scholars have noted how the novel interweaves personal dilemmas with political history, effectively dissolving the boundary between intimate experience and ideological context. The Prague Spring of 1968 serves not merely as backdrop but as an active force shaping characters' inner lives. Critics have observed that Kundera replaces revolutionary fervor with ironic detachment, challenging both Communist and anti-Communist positions (Banerjee, 2008). Yet while many acknowledge the political dimensions of the narrative, fewer have explored how power operates at the micro-level within erotic relationships, domestic arrangements, and bodily experience.

The novel itself signals this internalization of power through its characters. Tomas's refusal to recant his critical article reflects not just political defiance but a deeper ethical commitment rooted in personal integrity. Similarly, Sabina's pattern of betrayal represents not treachery but a form of self-preservation and existential rebellion. These moments suggest that resistance extends beyond protest or revolution to encompass the pursuit of erotic freedom, artistic authenticity, and existential truth.

## **Foucault on Power and Sexuality**

Foucault's work provides essential theoretical resources for examining power and sexuality in literature. In his influential study of sexuality, Foucault challenged what he called the repressive hypothesis the common assumption that modern societies have silenced or suppressed sexual expression (Foucault, 1978). He argued instead that modern societies have actually multiplied discourses about sex, making sexuality a central mechanism through which power operates. Rather than viewing sex as something hidden or forbidden, Foucault (1978) demonstrated how modern institutions medical, educational, legal, and psychological have produced an explosion of discourse about sexuality, classifying behaviors, establishing norms, and creating new categories of identity. This perspective aligns with Kundera's treatment of sexuality not as an expression of freedom opposed to power but as a practice deeply entangled within disciplinary forces.

Foucault's earlier work on disciplinary institutions elaborates the idea that power does not flow from the top down but is diffused through institutions, discourses, and bodies (Foucault, 1977). The concept of the panopticon a surveillance structure that produces self-regulating subjects becomes a metaphor for how observation shapes subjectivity (Foucault, 1977). In Kundera's novel, Tomas is subject to professional and political surveillance after his refusal to conform, while Tereza's dreams of public exposure manifest internalized forms of ideological control.

Foucault's concept of technologies of the self proves particularly illuminating when applied to Kundera's characters (Foucault, 1988). These are the practices through which individuals fashion their identities in defiance of societal norms. Sabina's aesthetic of betrayal, Tomas's approach to romantic detachment, and even Tereza's eventual acceptance of her vulnerability all embody forms of self-construction that engage with power not by escaping it but by navigating it in nuanced, embodied ways. These characters demonstrate that resistance does not require stepping outside the system but rather involves creative negotiation within its constraints, finding spaces of freedom within the very mechanisms designed to regulate and control.

### **Sexuality as Resistance in Literature**

A growing body of literary criticism has explored how sexuality functions as a form of resistance in oppressive political contexts. Scholars have noted that dystopian literature often deploys erotic relationships to challenge totalitarianism (Moylan, 2000), recognizing that desire cannot be fully legislated or surveilled. Similarly, feminist criticism has argued that female sexual expression in literature can operate as a critique of both patriarchal and state control (Bristow, 1997; Gubar, 1996). Sabina's defiant sexuality and her refusal to conform to either Communist realism or bourgeois morality place her within this tradition of subversive femininity.

Kundera's female characters are not mere vehicles for philosophical inquiry; they embody the political tensions of their time. Tereza's conflict between bodily desire and moral guilt dramatizes how state and religious institutions colonize private life. The novel shows how sexuality becomes disciplined into a site of shame, loyalty, or betrayal—but also how it can be reclaimed and transformed.

### **Theoretical Framework**

To understand the entanglement of erotic life, individual agency, and political repression in Kundera's novel, Foucault's theoretical framework on power and sexuality offers crucial insights. Foucault reconceptualized power not as something held by a ruling class or central authority but as a pervasive force operating through discourses, institutions, and bodies (Foucault, 1977, 1978). His analysis of sexuality as a product of power rather than a domain separate from or suppressed by it proves particularly relevant to Kundera's narrative set in Communist-era Czechoslovakia.

### **Power beyond Repression**

Foucault departed from traditional models of power as hierarchical imposition. He emphasized what he called a microphysics of power diffused, relational, and productive (Foucault, 1977). Power manifests in everyday interactions, institutional procedures, and internalized norms. It produces the very individuals who appear to wield or resist it. In Kundera's novel, this conception becomes apparent in how Tomas's personal and professional life is shaped by political expectations. His choice to maintain his critical stance despite career consequences embodies Foucault's notion that resistance often lies in everyday acts of disobedience rather than grand gestures.

### **Sexuality as Discursive Construct**

Foucault's challenge to the repressive hypothesis transformed scholarly understanding of sexuality (Foucault, 1978). He argued that sexuality must not be understood as a natural given that power attempts to suppress but as a historical construct produced through discourse (Foucault, 1978). The state's interest in sexual behavior stems from its potential to regulate populations, families, and moral conduct. This framework illuminates *The Unbearable Lightness of Being*, where sexuality is not simply a private matter but a site of

ideological tension and social surveillance. Tomas's relationships challenge the moralism imposed by both state ideology and social custom. His unconventional approach to intimacy directly opposes dominant ideologies that equate sexual fidelity with moral integrity.

### **The Body and Internalized Power**

Foucault's theorization of the body as a primary site of disciplinary power has significant implications for reading Tereza. Modern power, Foucault argued, is inscribed on bodies through rituals, surveillance, and norms that produce what he called docile bodies (Foucault, 1977). Tereza's relationship to her own body is characterized by shame and self-monitoring. Her recurring dreams of women marched in military formation or subjected to public exposure depict the internalization of external authority. Her guilt about her body and her jealousy reflect power operating within the self sexuality becoming a dense transfer point for power relations (Foucault, 1978).

### **Technologies of the Self**

Foucault's later work on technologies of the self adds further depth to this analysis (Foucault, 1988). He suggested that individuals actively participate in shaping themselves through practices of freedom, care, and self-stylization (Foucault, 1984, 1988). Resistance, then, involves not simply overthrowing structures but engaging in ethical self-formation. Tomas's sexual philosophy, Sabina's betrayals, and even Tereza's eventual decision to remain with Tomas in exile represent diverse forms of self-fashioning. Sabina's refusal to submit to ideological demands reflects this practice of self as resistance. Her life becomes an ethical project a refusal to become what power wants her to be.

### **Power and Surveillance in the Novel**

Kundera's novel is saturated with themes of political oppression, surveillance, and the pervasive reach of state control. Foucault's theory of power posits that modern authority operates less through direct repression and more through surveillance and normalization (Foucault, 1977) an insight richly illustrated in Kundera's portrayal of life in Soviet-occupied Czechoslovakia. Through the intimate lives of its characters, the novel depicts how power is internalized and enacted not only by institutions but by individuals themselves.

Foucault's concept of the panopticon is particularly relevant here (Foucault, 1977). In the panopticon, individuals internalize surveillance, regulating themselves without any overt force. Kundera presents a similar logic in how characters adapt their behavior in response to the presence or even the imagined presence of the regime's watchful eye. The ever-present threat of observation pervades the characters' psychological landscapes. Tomas's downfall is rooted in a private moment turned political: an article he wrote becomes a tool for his public denunciation, illustrating the invasive potential of political power.

The Czech regime's surveillance apparatus embodies what Foucault called biopower the regulation of life through political and social institutions (Foucault, 1978). Tomas is pressured to publicly recant his article, which had questioned ideological purity. His refusal reflects internal moral resistance, but it also signals the cost of dissent in a society where boundaries between public loyalty and private conscience are policed. The regime's ability to destroy reputations and livelihoods without direct violence demonstrates the subtler operation of Foucauldian power.

The novel illustrates surveillance as not only political but deeply psychological. Tereza internalizes a kind of moral gaze a guilt-ridden self-surveillance born of cultural expectations

and compounded by state repression. Her dream sequences reveal an inner world in which power is felt as shame and fear. Though these scenes unfold in dreams, they metaphorically capture how sexual shame, female vulnerability, and societal control intersect.

Sabina represents a form of resistance against this surveillance regime, but even she cannot escape its reach. Her art functions simultaneously as self-expression and rebellion. Yet her double life and exile signal the limitations of artistic dissent. The metaphor of the mask signifies both the concealment required to evade surveillance and the psychological burden of never being truly seen. In Foucauldian terms, Sabina's condition exemplifies the disciplinary society where visibility equals control and opacity constitutes resistance (Foucault, 1977).

Kundera also draws attention to paradoxes of memory and historical record under surveillance. The state's selective memory, its curatorial control over what enters or exits public record, becomes a strategy of power. Documents disappear, histories are rewritten, and individuals find their past transformed into political evidence. Yet Kundera complicates this model by imbuing his characters with existential agency. While surveillance disciplines, it does not fully extinguish dissent. In their own flawed ways, these characters navigate the landscape of power, embodying what Foucault might term points of resistance spaces within the power network where rupture remains possible (Foucault, 1978). The novel also links surveillance not only to politics but to love and sexuality. Tomas's compulsive romantic pursuits are not merely character flaws but sites of philosophical reflection and resistance. Sexuality becomes aligned with discourse desire is discursively shaped and policed. His encounters become a form of rebellion against monogamy and the sanctity of socialist ideals, though they also reproduce hierarchies and asymmetries, suggesting that even resistance can mirror the structures it seeks to oppose.

### **Sexuality as Resistance and Complicity**

In Kundera's novel, sexuality functions not merely as personal or romantic engagement but as a political act an axis through which both resistance and complicity with power manifest. Foucault's framework offers a compelling lens for interpreting how characters negotiate control, autonomy, and dissent. He asserted that sexuality is a historically constructed discourse imbued with power relations, not a natural or private truth (Foucault, 1978). In Kundera's narrative, sexuality becomes a battleground where state surveillance, personal rebellion, and ideological submission are enacted and negotiated.

Foucault's analysis shifts conversation from repression to productivity (Foucault, 1978). Power operates through discourse, and sexuality becomes a regulated site of knowledge, confession, and identity formation. For Tomas, sex represents a means of maintaining autonomy against totalizing ideologies; for Tereza, it is a realm fraught with shame and surveillance; for Sabina, it is an aesthetic of betrayal.

Tomas pursues romantic encounters that seem libertine and apolitical on the surface. Yet these acts assume deeper political significance when read through a Foucauldian lens. His refusal to submit to normative, monogamous structures can be interpreted as subtle dissent. His separation of emotional and physical intimacy foregrounds the split between love and desire. His serial engagements function as resistance to the ideological and affective entrapment that institutions like marriage and the Communist state seek to impose. For Foucault, such acts are not exempt from power; rather, they reconfigure it (Foucault, 1978).

Yet Tomas's libertinism also invites scrutiny. His detachment from emotional responsibility arguably aligns him with patriarchal privilege. Foucault warns against romanticizing resistance without recognizing its embeddedness in the same power circuits it critiques (Foucault, 1978, 1982). Tomas exercises control over his lovers; his relationships are curated through a lens of intellectual and physical superiority. His sexuality is not simply resistant but complicit in maintaining gendered hierarchies. Resistance and control become inseparable.

Tereza, by contrast, internalizes the state's surveillance through the psychological residue of shame and vulnerability. Her body becomes a site of conflict both desired and defiled. The maternal legacy signals a genealogical understanding of sexuality: the transmission of power through familial, disciplinary institutions. Her anxiety stems from moral discourse that positions female sexuality as inherently suspect a construct shaped by panoptic mechanisms of control. Her desire for exclusive intimacy clashes with a society and partner that commodify the body.

Sabina, the most radical figure in terms of sexual and ideological freedom, stages betrayal as an aesthetic and ethical act. Her liaisons are laced with irony and distance. This existential commitment to disloyalty mirrors Foucault's encouragement of reverse discourse—the ability to subvert dominant meanings from within (Foucault, 1978). Sabina's sexuality defies categorization; she reclaims the gaze and orchestrates her own erotic narrative. Her preference for performative self-awareness embraces artifice and surface, undermining the confessional model of sexuality that Foucault critiques (Foucault, 1978). She refuses the demand for transparency and authenticity that characterizes modern power's approach to sexuality, instead cultivating a deliberate opacity that frustrates the regime's desire to know and classify its subjects.

Nevertheless, Sabina's resistance remains embedded in bourgeois privilege. Her aesthetic freedom, while subversive, is largely disengaged from material or collective struggle. As Foucault suggests, resistance must be situated and strategic; not all acts of nonconformity destabilize power (Foucault, 1982). Sabina's erotic individualism oscillates between defiance and complicity an ambivalence that echoes throughout Kundera's narrative.

### **Political Dissent and Ethical Ambiguity**

Kundera's novel is a profound meditation on personal and political freedom, rendering a philosophical narrative that intertwines the private domain of human relationships with collective struggles against political oppression. Set against the Prague Spring and its violent suppression in 1968, the novel confronts readers with ethical ambiguity inherent in acts of political dissent. Foucault's theories on governmentality, power/knowledge, and truth-telling (Foucault, 1977, 1982, 1991) provide an interpretive framework for understanding the complex ways individual resistance and complicity function within oppressive regimes.

The protagonists navigate a political world marked by surveillance, censorship, and ideological rigidity, each responding to state authority in ways that expose tensions between resistance and surrender. Tomas, the surgeon who loses his position for refusing to recant his critical article, embodies Foucault's notion of parrhesia speaking truth to power despite personal cost (Foucault, 1984). His decision to write an essay critiquing the regime's use of political mythology represents a courageous act that transcends individual consequence and enters the realm of political dissent.

However, Tomas's actions are not devoid of ambiguity. His apparent detachment from politics underscores the Foucauldian insight that resistance is often situated within contradictions. Power is omnipresent, and resistance exists within its very mechanisms (Foucault, 1978). Tomas's eventual retreat to the countryside, though seemingly a rejection of the political, is itself a form of dissent a refusal to be co-opted by the system. His ethical ambiguity reveals the difficulties of sustaining political resistance without collapsing into complicity or nihilism.

Foucault's concept of panopticism illuminates the oppressive atmosphere in Kundera's novel (Foucault, 1977). The omnipresence of surveillance creates a disciplinary society where dissent is not only punished but internalized. Tereza's discomfort with the invasive gaze of the state parallels Foucault's panopticon, where visibility becomes a trap. The fate of Tomas exemplifies the totalizing reach of disciplinary power. After refusing to publicly disavow his article, he is demoted and forced into menial labor a disciplinary punishment designed to marginalize and silence opposition without martyrdom.

Sabina's resistance takes a more private, aesthetic form. Her commitment to betrayal serves as refusal to be fixed by identity or ideology. Betrayal becomes her way of opposing the world of kitsch a concept akin to Foucault's critique of normalization (Foucault, 1977). Sabina's bohemian lifestyle, sexual autonomy, and refusal to conform to artistic orthodoxy reflect micro-politics of resistance. Yet her retreat to the West complicates her position: is it liberation or evasion of responsibility? Her ethical ambiguity reinforces the Foucauldian view that power relations are not binary but fluid, and that subjects navigate them with varying degrees of agency and entanglement (Foucault, 1982).

Franz, by contrast, represents a more traditional model of political idealism. His participation in marches and public activism is portrayed with a degree of irony. Kundera critiques this performative dissent action for visibility rather than transformation. Franz's activism lacks the self-awareness Foucault sees as central to ethical resistance.

### **Discussion: Foucauldian Subjectivity in Kundera's Political Erotics**

Foucault's notion of subjectivity, particularly in its entanglement with power and sexuality, offers a profound lens for interpreting the complex character networks and moral landscapes in Kundera's novel. Rather than positioning subjects as autonomous beings with intrinsic, stable identities, Foucault contends that individuals are historically constructed through discourses of power (Foucault, 1982). Kundera's characters exemplify this formulation, as their sexual and political choices reveal not pure assertion of will but fraught navigation of social forces, ethical paradoxes, and internalized mechanisms of control.

Foucault conceptualizes subjectivity not as essence but as a process of becoming within power-laden social practices (Foucault, 1982). Resistance is never outside power; it is inscribed within the same structures that subjectify individuals (Foucault, 1978). Kundera's novel echoes this dynamic, especially through Sabina, whose life protests against dogma and convention. Her erotic autonomy characterized by numerous affairs and refusal to conform to ideological systems initially appears liberatory. Yet her resistance remains marked by the very discourse she opposes. Her identity, forged through transgression, paradoxically relies on the symbolic presence of the authority she defies.

Tomas further illustrates Foucauldian subjectivity in the nexus of power, pleasure, and knowledge. His approach to romantic relationships is not merely hedonistic but an

epistemological project a way of knowing and categorizing. His use of eroticism functions like a clinical gaze, echoing Foucault's analysis of the medicalized body (Foucault, 1977). His subjectivity is formed through his erotic mapping of others he is both wielder and subject of biopolitical power.

However, Kundera resists reducing sexuality to an emancipatory force alone. The novel underscores how desire is ambivalent it can be a form of resistance but also a conduit of power's reproduction. This is evident in Tomas's ultimate submission to domestic life with Tereza. His final act of tenderness suggests a relinquishment of erotic mastery for an ethics of care. Yet even this decision is shaped by political and historical pressure: blacklisted for refusing to recant an article, his loss of status shifts his subjectivity from sovereign man to vulnerable partner.

Tereza embodies the internalization of surveillance. Her anxieties about Tomas's infidelities mirror Foucault's panoptic metaphor (Foucault, 1977). She imagines herself as always watched, always judged. Her self-conception is regulated not only by her lover's gaze but by broader ideological apparatus the religious morality of her upbringing, nationalist propaganda of the Communist state, and disciplinary norms of femininity. Foucault's concept of technologies of the self (Foucault, 1988) finds vivid reflection in Tereza's struggles with guilt, shame, and desire.

What binds these characters is their immersion in the historical moment of post-1968 Czechoslovakia a period in which power's ubiquity became undeniable. The Soviet invasion, censorship, and ideological conformity mark the novel's backdrop and foreground the fragility of personal autonomy. Tomas is punished not through imprisonment but through the slow erosion of his professional identity a subtle yet insidious form of power. Political dissent is not heroic but ethically murky. Sabina's defection, Franz's activism, Tereza's guilt all are entangled in power's subtle operations.

Importantly, Kundera's narrative style reflects the Foucauldian suspicion of grand narratives and totalizing truths. The narrator intervenes, questions motives, contradicts character interpretations resisting any singular moral or ideological reading. The fragmented structure and philosophical digressions create what Foucault might describe as a genealogy a non-linear, discontinuous method of examining how truths are constructed (Foucault, 1984). The novel's refusal to moralize is its most Foucauldian trait. It offers no stable ground on whether eroticism is freedom or entrapment, whether dissent is noble or futile. Instead, it dramatizes subjectivity as a field of competing discourses, pressures, and possibilities. In sum, the novel embodies Foucauldian subjectivity not merely through its thematic content but through its aesthetic form. Sexuality and political resistance are not binaries of oppression and freedom but dynamic processes within the same matrix of power.

## **Conclusion**

Kundera's *The Unbearable Lightness of Being* is a novel rich with philosophical inquiry and political nuance, offering a layered depiction of how private desires and public power intersect. Through Foucault's theories on power, sexuality, and subjectivity (Foucault, 1977, 1978, 1982), this study has explored how the characters' erotic lives and political decisions reveal the pervasive and multifaceted nature of power in Communist Czechoslovakia.

The characters do not operate outside systems of power; rather, they are entangled within its operations, often simultaneously resisting and reproducing it. Tomas's refusal to retract his

critical article is an emblematic act of political dissent, yet his personal life reveals complex dynamics of domination and control within intimate relationships. His compartmentalization of physical pleasure and emotional commitment serves both as resistance to conventional morality and reproduction of patriarchal power structures.

Sabina exemplifies the Foucauldian subject caught between resistance and complicity. Her devotion to betrayal and aesthetic freedom defies totalitarian ideology, but her actions also highlight the limits of personal liberation within global systems of surveillance and control. Her life is guided by irony, reflecting the Foucauldian idea that truth is never singular or fixed (Foucault, 1978) underscoring her resistance to ideological rigidity but also her inability to commit to any form of ethical or political stability.

Tereza embodies the internalized operations of power. Haunted by her body, her dreams, and her longing for spiritual weight, she reveals how surveillance and moral discourse permeate the self. Her anxieties are not imposed solely from outside; they are also self-generated, shaped by childhood experiences, religious guilt, and societal expectations of womanhood. Her dream life demonstrates how power manifests within the psyche as much as within institutions.

Through these characters, Kundera challenges simplistic binaries of oppression and freedom, offering instead a narrative in which subjectivity is a fluid, contested terrain. Foucault's understanding of subjectivity as historically and discursively constructed (Foucault, 1982) clarifies how Kundera's protagonists navigate a world in which even acts of love and betrayal are never free from political significance.

Moreover, the novel's philosophical meditation on lightness and weight mirrors Foucault's own reflections on the ethics of selfhood. The unbearable lightness of being, in Kundera's formulation, is not liberation but alienation the disorienting freedom that comes from a world unmoored from permanence or consequence. In a universe where actions carry no lasting weight, where every decision can be undone and every commitment reversed, meaning itself becomes elusive. The characters' search for meaning, for ethical weight, resonates with Foucault's later work on the care of the self (Foucault, 1984, 1988), in which ethical subjectivity is understood as a continual practice of self-formation. Both thinkers recognize that freedom without responsibility leads not to flourishing but to a kind of existential vertigo.

Ultimately, *The Unbearable Lightness of Being* invites readers to reflect on how erotic life, political dissent, and ethical selfhood are intricately interwoven. It reveals that sexuality is a dense transfer point for power relations (Foucault, 1978), and that resistance is never pure but always entangled in the very structures it seeks to subvert. Kundera's novel not only aligns with Foucauldian thought but enriches it dramatizing the lived complexity of subjectivity in a politicized, eroticized world.

## References

- Banerjee, M. (2008). Power and politics in Milan Kundera's fiction. *Journal of Modern Literature*, 31(4), 33–51.
- Bristow, J. (1997). *Sexuality*. Routledge.
- Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Vintage Books.

- Foucault, M. (1978). *The history of sexuality: Volume 1: An introduction* (R. Hurley, Trans.). Pantheon Books.
- Foucault, M. (1982). The subject and power. *Critical Inquiry*, 8(4), 777–795.
- Foucault, M. (1984). On the genealogy of ethics: An overview of work in progress. In P. Rabinow (Ed.), *The Foucault reader* (pp. 340–372). Pantheon Books.
- Foucault, M. (1988). *Technologies of the self: A seminar with Michel Foucault* (L. H. Martin, H. Gutman, & P. H. Hutton, Eds.). University of Massachusetts Press.
- Foucault, M. (1991). *Remarks on Marx: Conversations with Duccio Trombadori* (R. J. Goldstein & J. Cascaito, Trans.). Semiotext(e).
- Gubar, S. (1996). *Critical condition: Feminism at the turn of the century*. Columbia University Press.
- Kundera, M. (1984). *The unbearable lightness of being* (M. H. Heim, Trans.). Harper & Row.
- Moylan, T. (2000). *Scraps of the untainted sky: Science fiction, utopia, dystopia*. Westview Press.
- Wood, J. (1990). *The broken estate: Essays on literature and belief*. Modern Humanities Research Press.